

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 112300-1666 5965 Steven P. McGahn 10/629,416 07/29/2003 EXAMINER 29159 01/19/2006 SHAH, MILAP BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC P.O. BOX 1135 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135 3714

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/629,416	MCGAHN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Milap Shah	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	anuary 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-74 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 July 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summan	/ (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to amendment received on January 21, 2005. The Examiner acknowledges that claims 1, 18, 32, 44, 57, 58 & 65 have been amended and that no new claims have been added. Thus, claims 1-74 are currently pending. The Examiner also acknowledges the submission of a Terminal Disclaimer.

Information Disclosure Statement

In the amendment filed on January 21, 2005, the Applicant has stated that the Examiner has not indicated the he has considered each of the references on page two of the information disclosure statement considered on September 23, 2004. The Examiner has included, with this action, a new copy of that particular PTO-1449 with each item marked as considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-46, 49-51, & 54-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams, U.S. Patent 5,823,874 (Oct. 20, 1998) (hereinafter "Adams") in view of Groetchen, U.S. Patent 1,978,395 (Apr. 23, 1934) (hereinafter "Groetchen").

Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

Application/Control Number: 10/629,416

Adams discloses a gaming device incorporating primary and secondary game displays within a single housing. See fig. 1. The primary embodiment employs a reel-type slot game as a primary display and a wheel-type game as a secondary display. See fig. 1; col. 6:16-33. However, Adams suggests the displays may be replaced with other standard gaming units that preferably include mechanical displays to reveal outcomes because they provide patrons a heightened level of anticipation and excitement. See col. 3:53-60, col. 6:16-33. Furthermore, Adams suggests the games should provide players with clear visual and audible indications to be readily discernable. See id. Still furthermore, the reference suggests that the bonus may alternatively employ games requiring elements of player skill. See col. 6:40-47.

In specific regards to the Applicants' claims, Adams teaches the following features:

- a. Cabinet with primary and secondary display supported by the cabinet. See fig. 1. A primary game is adapted to the primary display and a secondary game is adapted to the secondary display.
- b. A display mounted to the cabinet, a plurality of symbols displayed on a portion of the display and a processor operable to select symbols and control the display to display the selected symbols. See col. 5:30-49. The player activates the selection of a symbol which is incorporated in the award (i.e. a value enticement award).
- c. A directional indicator displayed by the first display which directs the player to the second display and processor which causes the directional indicator to direct the player to the second display. See col. 2:30-48, 4:46-59. (Claims 17-19, 44, 45, 61, & 65)

However, Adams lacks slidable members (i.e. a first and/or second movable member, wherein each movable member has its own actuator) selectively masking a portion of the secondary display wherein selected symbols on the secondary display are revealed by actuating the movable member(s) and a processor operable to cause the movable member(s) to reveal a portion of the video display.

Page 4

Regardless of the deficiencies, these features were known in the art at the time of the invention and would have been obvious to an artisan.

Groetchen discloses a slot machine device in which slidable member (i.e. shutters) selectively mask a display wherein the device selects symbol from a plurality of symbols; displays symbols on the display; and reveals the symbols by moving the movable member. See fig. 1-3; pp. 1:39-54; 1:63-6, 3:13-58. The reference suggests masking the outcomes with movable shutters allows various games with the device. See p. 3:44-50. Generally, Groetchen describes a mechanical game device allowing the successive display of game outcomes using movable members.

In view of *Groetchen*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify *Adams*, wherein a secondary display provides bonus payout, to add a secondary display wherein movable members selectively masks the secondary display, the device selects a symbol from a plurality of symbols; displays the symbol on the secondary display; and actuates the movable member to move to reveal the symbol by actuating the movable member. As suggested by *Adams*, the modification would provide a standard gaming including moving objects that reveal outcomes with clear visual and audible indications and thereby enhance the device by heightening players' level of anticipation and excitement. *See col. 3:53-60*. Furthermore, as suggested by *Groetchen*, the modification would provide an enhanced secondary game display supporting a variety of games. *See p. 3:44-50*.

In further regards to claims 2, 19 and 32: Adams additionally teaches a processor for electromechanically controlling a secondary display comprised of a mechanical indicator in which the processor controls the operation of the secondary display. See 3:60-4:6. Thus, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify the gaming device described by the combination of Adams and Groetchen, to add the feature of a processor operable to cause the movable member to reveal a portion of the video display to control the movable members. As taught by Adams,

Application/Control Number: 10/629,416

Art Unit: 3714

the modification would enhance the device by allowing it to be controlled by a random number generator while maintaining the mechanical appearance of the game. See col. 3:61-4:6.

In regards to claims 3, 22, 29, 34 & 50: Groetchen teaches a triggering event wherein a processor causes the actuator to move the movable member to reveal the secondary display upon occurrence of the triggering event. See p. 3:41-50.

In regards to claims 4-6, 44 and 51: Groetchen additionally teaches a plurality of slidable members that mask first and second portions of the second display wherein a motor are connected to each member and a processor causes the motors to slide the members to reveal masked symbols such as award indicia. See fig. 1-5; p. 3:41-50.

In regards to claims 7, 20, 46, 59, 65 and 66: Adams teaches symbols that include award indicia.

See fig. 1.

In regards to claims 8, 24, 43, 54, 60, and 67: The game system described by the combination of Adams and Groetchen does not disclose a speaker by which the processor generates a sound effect when the movable members reveal a secondary display. Regardless, it is notoriously well known in the art for gaming devices to incorporate processors that generate a sound effects through speakers in association with game events to provide players with audio indications in association with visual displays to enhance the interaction and entertainment of players. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the feature of a speaker by which the processor generates a sound effect when the movable member reveals a secondary display to the gaming device described by the combination of Adams and Groetchen to enhance the device by improving communicating and entertainment through increased interaction with players.

In regards to claims 9, 23 and 35: Groetchen teaches an actuator causing the movable member to reveal the secondary display after a player activated device is activated. See id.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,416 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

In regards to claims 10, 25 and 36: Adams teaches a secondary display that is a video display. See col. 5:30-50. The reference does not describe television, dot-matrix, cathode-ray tubes, light-emitting diode, liquid crystal, and electro-luminescent displays. Regardless, these display means are equivalents substitutable for the same purpose of displaying visual game information to a player. Thus, it would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Adams, wherein the secondary display is a video display, to substitute television, dot-matrix, cathode-ray tubes, light-emitting diode, liquid crystal, and electro-luminescent displays to display visual game information to a player.

In regards to claims 11-16, 26, 37-42, 55, 56, 62-64 and 68-71: Adams teaches a secondary display that includes a mechanical reel, movable roller or wheel displaying award indicia. See col. 6:17-33.

In regards to claims 21, 33 and 49 *Groetchen* teaches movable members made of non-transparent material. See fig. 1-5; p. 3:41-50.

Claims 47 & 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable *Adams* in view of *Groetchen*, as applied to claims 1-46, 49-51, & 54-74 above, in further view of Takeuchi et al., U.S. Patent 6,0896,066 (Jul. 11, 2000) (hereinafter "Takeuchi").

The gaming system suggested by the combination of Adams in view of Groetchen describes all the features of the instant claims except sensing devices to stop the motors from sliding the movable members and optical switches located to detect when a display is revealed. Regardless of the deficiencies, these features were known in the art at the time of the invention and would have been obvious to an artisan.

Takeuchi discloses a game system analogous to Groetchen in which movable members are driven by a motor to mask a portion of a game display. See fig. 1-3. In particular, the reference describes

sensing devices to stop the motors from sliding the movable members and optical switches located to detect when a display is set in its desired position. See col. 5:36-58.

In view of *Takeuchi*, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify The gaming system suggested by the combination of *Adams* in view of *Groetchen*, wherein a movable members are driven by a motor to mask a portion of a game display, to add the feature of sensing devices to stop the motors from sliding the movable members and optical switches located to detect when a display is revealed to provide feedback to the game processor and thereby improve control or detect malfunctions in the operation of the movable member.

Claims 52 & 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable *Adams* in view of *Groetchen*, as applied to claims 1-46, 49-51, & 54-74 above, further in view of Heywood et al., U.S. Patent 4,326,351 (Apr. 27, 1982) (hereinafter "Heywood").

As stated previously, Adams teaches connecting a mechanical secondary display to a processor such that a processor selects symbols for displayed on the secondary display medium. See col. 3:61-67. Furthermore, the reference suggests that other known mechanical displays may be substituted for the described wheel display. See 6:26-34. Thus, the gaming system suggested by the combination of Adams with Groetchen describes all the features of the instant claim except a secondary display device having a medium exhibiting symbols that is coupled between a drive roller and follower roller such that one of the symbols is shown in the second display. Regardless of the deficiencies, these features were known in the art at the time of the invention and would have been obvious to an artisan.

Heywood discloses a having a medium exhibiting symbols that is coupled between a drive roller and follower roller such that one of the symbols is shown in the second display wherein a processor controls the medium to display a selected symbol. See fig. 2; 1:66-2:20. The reference teaches that the

roller driven medium provides a better alternative to reels than video displays because players can see the symbols belong to an unalterable strip and avoid an artificial appearance. See col. 1:38-56.

Consequently, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the gaming system described by the combination of *Adams* with *Groetchen* to add the feature of a secondary display device having a medium exhibiting symbols that is coupled between a drive roller and follower roller such that one of the symbols is shown in the second display to provides a alternative to a reel display while allowing players can see the symbols belong to an unalterable strip and avoiding an artificial appearance.

In regards to claim 53: The combination of *Adams* with *Groetchen* teaches an actuator causing the movable member to reveal the secondary display after a player activated device is activated. *See id.*

Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on January 21, 2005 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,864 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-74 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Therefore, the previous rejection of claims 1-9, 17-24, 32-35, 43, 57-61, & 72-74 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and the previous rejection of claims 11-16, 26-31, 37-42, 44-46, 49-56, & 62-71 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 1-2, filed January 21, 2005, with respect to double patenting of claims 1-74 of the instant application to claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,864 have been fully

considered and, due to the filing of a Terminal Disclaimer, are persuasive. The double patenting rejection of claims 1-74 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Milap Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-1723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Jones can be reached on (571) 272-4438. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,416 Page 10

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.B.S.

Scott Jones